

7 support panel and defining the upper edge of the support panel for a substantial portion of the
8 lateral distance between the laterally opposed ends of the support panel; and
9 (c) a containment wall extending upwardly from the top face of said floor with
10 a substantial portion thereof spaced horizontally from and substantially parallel with the plane
11 of said first face of said support panel and with at least the upper portion of said containment
12 wall curved outwardly in a direction away from the substantially vertical plane of said
13 support panel.---

REMARKS

The Examiner's objections to the drawing have been noted. Substitute drawing correcting the errors noted have been submitted under separate cover.

Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled and Claim 1 amended to incorporate the limitations of original Claim 3. Since original Claims 3-6 and 10 were objected to as dependent from a rejected base claim but indicated allowable if rewritten in independent form, and since Claims 4-15 (as now presented) are all variously dependent from amended Claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1 and 4-15 are in condition for allowance.

Claim 16 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of original Claim 3. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 16 and Claims 17-20 dependent therefrom are now in condition for allowance.

Original Claim 6 is now presented as new independent Claim 31 and original Claim 10 is now presented as new independent Claim 32. Since these claims were indicated allowable if rewritten in independent form, formal allowance of new Claims 31 and 32 is respectfully requested.

New Claims 21-25 are combination claims in the form of original Claim 16 amended to incorporate the limitations of original Claim 6. Likewise, Claims 26-30 are combination

claims in the form of original Claim 16 amended to incorporate the limitations of original Claim 10. Since these claims are directed to subject matter previously indicated allowable by the Examiner, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are now in condition for allowance.

Claims 7-9 and 11-20 were rejected on various grounds. However, since these claims have now been amended (or dependent from claims which have been amended) to incorporate to the subject matter indicated by the Examiner to be allowable, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections of these claims are now moot and that these claims are now allowable over the references cited.

Since Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled, since Claims 1 and 4-20 have been amended to avoid the Examiner's objections thereto, and since Claims 21-32 have been shown to be patentable over the references cited for the reasons set forth hereinabove, it is respectfully requested that said Claims 1 and 4-32 be formally allowed and the case advanced to issue. An early action to that effect is earnestly solicited.

In the event a telephone conference could resolve any outstanding issues, a call to the undersigned is invited.

Respectfully submitted,



Jack A. Kanz
Reg. No. 23,061
502 So. Cottonwood Drive
Richardson, Texas 75080
Tel 972/234-1394
Fax 972/234-5171

November 19, 1998

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

